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#### Abstract

The linear problem of the parametric excitation of three-dimensional standing waves on the free surface of a liquid of low viscosity in a vessel of arbitrary shape, undergoing vertical oscillations, is investigated. The so-called harmonic instability, for which the natural frequency of the excited waves is close to the oscillation frequency of the vessel, is considered. Using the idea of a boundary layer and the Krylov-Bogolyubov averaging method, approximate formulae are derived for the quantities which define the conditions for harmonic instability-for the threshold oscillation amplitude of the vessel and the limits of the resonance zones. It is shown experimentally that it is possible for harmonic instability to occur on the surface of water in a rectangular vessel. The calculated values of the threshold amplitude and the limits of the resonance zones agree well with those measured experimentally. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.


When a layer of a heavy liquid oscillates in a vertical direction so-called sub-harmonic instability usually occurs, when the natural frequency of the excited waves is close to half the oscillation frequency of the vessel. This instability has been investigated fairly well both for an ideal liquid and for a viscous liquid [1-3]. Harmonic instability has been investigated to a lesser extent. The possibility of the occurrence of such instability in a vessel of infinitely large horizontal dimensions was investigated in [4], and it was shown that harmonic instability can only occur in a fairly shallow viscous liquid.
In this paper we derive the conditions for harmonic instability of the free surface of a liquid of low viscosity in a vessel of finite horizontal dimensions and we check these conditions experimentally. To solve the problem the velocity field of the liquid is divided into potential and eddy components and the boundary-layer method is employed. The effectiveness of this approach was demonstrated for the first time in $[5,6]$ when investigating a low-viscosity liquid. By analogy with a previous paper [3] we also use the idea of the Krylov-Bogolyubov averaging method.

## 1. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

A vessel containing a viscous incompressible liquid with a free surface undergoes vertical oscillations as given by $-s \cos \Omega t$. The free surface is a horizontal plane in the equilibrium state. We will assume that, at the instant $t=0$, a perturbation occurs in the liquid in the form of a standing wave with an infinitely low amplitude and a frequency $\omega$, close to the oscillation frequency $\Omega$ of the vessel. It is required to obtain the conditions for which the initial perturbation will increase with time.

In a system of coordinates rigidly attached to the vessel, the linear equations and boundary conditions for the velocity, $\mathbf{U}$, the pressure $P$ and the elevation of the free surface $\Sigma$ have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t}+\mathbf{e}_{z} s \Omega^{2} \cos \Omega t=-\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P-\mathbf{e}_{z} g+v \Delta \mathbf{U}, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U}=0 \quad \text { in } D \\
& \mathbf{U}=0 \text { on } S \\
& -P-\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} H+2 v \rho \frac{\partial U_{z}}{\partial z}=0, \quad \text { vp }\left(\frac{\partial U_{\xi}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial U_{z}}{\partial \xi}\right)=0, \quad \xi=x, y \\
& U_{z}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(x, y, t) \quad \text { on } \Sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\rho$ is the density, $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity of the liquid, $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity, $\mathrm{e}_{z}$ is the unit vector along the $z$ axis, $D$ is the region occupied by the liquid and $S$ is the solid boundary of the region $D$. A Cartesian system of coordinates $x y z$ is chosen so that the $x y$ plane coincides with the surface $\Sigma$ while the $z$ axis and the unit vector $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{z}}$ are directed vertically upwards.

We will introduce dimensionless variables taking the characteristic dimension $d$ of the vessel as the unit of length and the quantity $1 / \omega_{0}$ as the unit of time, where $\omega_{0}$ is the least natural frequency of oscillations of an ideal two-layer liquid

$$
\mathrm{U}=d \omega \mathbf{u}, \quad P=-g \rho z+d^{2} \omega_{0}^{2} \rho p-\rho s \Omega^{2} z \cos \Omega t, \quad H=\eta d
$$

We will represent the velocity vector $\mathbf{U}$ in the form of the sum of potential and vortex components

$$
\mathbf{u}=-\nabla \varphi+\mathbf{v}
$$

We will put

$$
p=\partial \varphi / \partial t
$$

Retaining the previous notation for all the dimensionless quantities, we obtain the following problem for the functions $\varphi, v$ and $\eta$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta \varphi=0, \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t}=\varepsilon^{2} \Delta \mathbf{v}, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}=0 \text { in } D \\
\nabla \varphi=\mathbf{v} \text { on } S \\
F \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}-(1+\sqrt{\varepsilon} \gamma \cos \Omega t) \eta+\varepsilon^{2} 2 F\left(\frac{\partial \nu_{z}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial z^{2}}\right)=0  \tag{1.2}\\
\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial v_{\xi}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial \xi}-2 \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial \xi \partial z}\right)=0, \quad \xi=x, y ; \quad \nu_{z}-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}(x, y, t) \text { on } \Sigma
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F=\omega_{0}^{2} d / g, \varepsilon^{2}=\nu /\left(\omega_{0} d^{2}\right), \sqrt{\varepsilon} \gamma=s \Omega^{2} / g, \gamma=O(1)$. Assuming that the liquid has a low viscosity and the acceleration of the vessel is small compared with the acceleration due to gravity, we take $\varepsilon \ll 1$.

## 2. THE ZEROTH AND FIRST APPROXIMATIONS

The asymptotic solution of the singularly perturbed problem (1.1), (1.2) will be sought in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi=\Phi_{0}+\sqrt{\varepsilon} \Phi_{1}+\ldots \\
& \mathbf{v}=S \mathbf{v}+\Sigma \mathbf{v} \equiv S_{0} \mathbf{v}+\sqrt{\varepsilon} S_{1} \mathbf{v}+\ldots+\Sigma_{0} \mathbf{v}+\sqrt{\varepsilon} \Sigma_{1} \mathbf{v}+\ldots  \tag{2.1}\\
& \eta=\eta_{0}+\sqrt{\varepsilon} \eta_{1}+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\Phi$ is the regular part of the asymptotic expansion, while $S \mathbf{v}$ and $\Sigma \mathbf{v}$ are the boundary parts, which exist only in the subregions $D_{S}$ and $D_{\Sigma}$ adjoining the surfaces $S$ and $\Sigma$, respectively.

Using the idea of Krylov-Bogolyubov averaging method, we will assume that each of the functions in (2.1) depends on the spatial variable and on the so-called "slowly varying amplitude" $C$, the "fast phase" $\psi$ and the "slow phase" $\theta$.

The functions $C, \psi$ and $\theta$ satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d C}{d t}=\sqrt{\varepsilon} A_{1}(C, \theta)+\varepsilon A_{2}(C, \theta)+\ldots \\
& \frac{d \theta}{d t}=\Delta+\sqrt{\varepsilon} B_{1}(C, \theta)+\varepsilon B_{2}(C, \theta)+\ldots  \tag{2.2}\\
& \theta=\psi-\Omega t, \quad \Delta=\omega-\Omega
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}(C, \theta), B_{1}(\mathrm{C}, \theta), \ldots$ are periodic functions of $\theta$, which, like the coefficients of expansions (2.1) are to be determined from problem (1.1), (1.2). We will also assume that $\Delta=O(\varepsilon)$.

Taking relations (2.2) into account, the partial derivatives with respect to $t$, for example, of the function $\varphi$, can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}=\omega \frac{\partial \Phi_{0}}{\partial \psi}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\left(K_{1}\left(\Phi_{0}\right)+\omega \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial \psi}\right)+\varepsilon\left(K_{2}\left(\Phi_{0}\right)+K_{1}\left(\Phi_{1}\right)+\omega \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial \psi}\right)+\ldots \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial t^{2}}=\omega^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{0}}{\partial \psi^{2}}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\left(2 \omega L_{1}\left(\Phi_{0}\right)+\omega^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{1}}{\partial \psi^{2}}\right)+ \\
& +\varepsilon\left(\omega^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{1}}{\partial \psi^{2}}+2 \omega L_{2}\left(\Phi_{0}\right)+2 \omega L_{1}\left(\Phi_{1}\right)+A_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{0}}{\partial C^{2}}+2 A_{1} B_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{0}}{\partial C \partial \psi}+B_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{0}}{\partial \psi^{2}}\right)+\ldots  \tag{2.3}\\
& K_{n}\left(\Phi_{k}\right) \equiv \frac{\partial \Phi_{k}}{\partial C} A_{n}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{k}}{\partial \psi} B_{n}, \quad k=0,1 ; n=1,2 \\
& L_{n}\left(\Phi_{k}\right) \equiv \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{k}}{\partial \psi \partial C} A_{n}+\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{k}}{\partial \psi^{2}} B_{n}, \quad k=0,1 ; n=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

Similar expansions exist for the boundary-layer functions.
We will introduce local orthogonal curvilinear coordinates $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ into the region $D$, so that the surface $s_{3}=0$ coincides with $S$ and $s_{3}>0$ in $D$. We will introduce Cartesian coordinates $x, y, z$ into the region $D_{\Sigma}$ so that the $x$ axis coincides with the axis of the initial system of coordinates $y \in \Sigma$, and the $z$ axis is directed into the region $D$.

We will require that the boundary-layer functions satisfy the following relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \mathbf{v} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \sigma \rightarrow \infty, \quad \Sigma \mathbf{v} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \zeta \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting expansions (2.1) and (2.3) into (1.1) and (1.2) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\varepsilon$ we obtain a series of boundary-value problems for determining the coefficients of expansions (2.1).

The zeroth approximation, i.e. the functions which satisfy problem (1.1), (1.2) to within terms $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$, can be found from equations similar to those considered previously [3]. We will only present the final result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{0}=C f_{0} \cos \psi, \quad \eta_{0}=-\left.F \omega f_{0}\right|_{z=0} \sin \psi \\
& S_{0} \nu_{\sigma}=0, \quad \Sigma_{0} v=0 \\
& S_{0} \nu_{l}=H_{l}^{-1} \partial f_{0} /\left.\partial s_{l}\right|_{S} C \exp (-\lambda \sigma) \cos (\psi-\lambda \sigma), \quad l=1,2, \quad \lambda=(\omega / 2)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $f_{0}$ is the eigenfunction of the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta f_{0}=0 \text { in } D \\
& \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial n}=0 \text { on } S, \quad \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial z}=F \omega^{2} f_{0} \quad \text { on } \Sigma \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

corresponding to the eigenvalue $F \omega^{2}$, where $n$ denotes the inward normal to the boundary of the region $D$ while $H_{1}, H_{2},\left(H_{3}=1\right)$ are the Lamé coefficients of the system of coordinates $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$.

The problem for the function $\Phi_{1}$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \Phi_{1}=0 \text { in } D, \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } S \\
& F \omega^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{1}}{\partial \psi^{2}}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial z}=2 \omega F Q_{1} f_{0}-\gamma \omega^{2} C f_{0} \cos (2 \psi-\theta) \quad \text { on } \Sigma  \tag{2.6}\\
& Q_{i}=A_{i} \sin \psi+C B_{i} \cos \psi, \quad i=1,2, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

We will represent $\Phi_{1}$ in the form

$$
\Phi_{1}=\Phi_{1}^{(1)}+\Phi_{1}^{(2)}
$$

where $\Phi_{1}^{(1)}$, depends on $\psi$ as $\sin \psi$ and $\cos \psi$, while $\Phi_{1}^{(2)}$, depends on $\psi$ as $\sin 2 \psi$ and $\cos 2 \psi$. For $\Phi_{1}^{(1)}$, we obtain the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \Phi_{1}^{(1)}=0 \text { in } D \\
& \underbrace{\partial n}_{1} \text { on } S, \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}^{(1)}}{\partial z}-F \omega^{2} \Phi_{1}^{(1)}=2 \omega F Q_{1} f_{0} \text { on } \Sigma \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The condition for problem for (2.7) to be solvable has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\Sigma}\left(f_{0} \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}^{(1)}}{\partial z}-\Phi_{1}^{(1)} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial z}\right) d \Sigma=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing the derivatives $\partial f_{0} / \partial z, \partial \Phi_{1}^{(1)} / \partial z$ on $\Sigma$ from (2.5) and (2.7) and substituting them into (2.8), we obtain

$$
2 \omega F\left(A_{1} \sin \psi+C B_{1} \cos \psi\right) \int_{\Sigma} \int_{0}^{2} d \Sigma=0
$$

Consequently, $A_{1}=B_{1}=0$. Taking this into account, we can write the particular solution of problem (2.6) in the form

$$
\Phi_{1}=1 / 3 C \gamma f_{0} \cos (2 \psi-\theta)
$$

Using the explicit expression for $\Phi_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\eta_{1}=-1 /\left.2 F \omega C \gamma(1 / 3 \sin (2 \psi-\theta)+\sin \theta) f_{0}\right|_{z=0}
$$

From the third equation of (1.1), considered in $D_{S}$ and $D_{\Sigma}$, and conditions (2.4) we obtain

$$
S_{1} v_{\sigma}=\Sigma_{1} v_{\zeta}=0
$$

From the second equation of (1.1), considered in $D_{s}$, the first condition of (1.2) and conditions (2.4) we obtain the problems for the functions $S_{1} v_{1}(1=1,2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega \partial S_{l} v_{l} / \partial \psi=\partial^{2} S_{l} \nu_{l} / \partial \sigma^{2} \\
& S_{l} \nu_{l}=H_{l}^{-1} \partial \Phi_{l} / \partial s_{l} \text { on } S, \quad S_{l} \nu_{l} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \sigma \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

the solution of which, taking into account the explicit expression for $\Phi_{1}$, can be written in the form

$$
S_{l} \nu_{l}=1 / 3 H_{1}^{-1} \gamma \partial f_{0} /\left.\partial s_{t}\right|_{S} C \exp (-\sqrt{\omega} \sigma) \cos (2 \psi-\theta-\sqrt{\omega} \sigma)
$$

From the second equation of (1.1), considered in $D_{\Sigma}$, the third condition of (1.2) and conditions (2.4) we obtain the problems for the functions $\Sigma_{1} v_{\xi}(\xi=x, y)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega \partial \Sigma_{1} \nu_{\xi} / \partial \psi=\partial^{2} \Sigma_{1} \nu_{\xi} / \partial \zeta^{2} \\
& \partial \Sigma_{1} \nu_{\xi} / \partial \zeta=0 \text { on } \Sigma, \quad \Sigma_{1} \nu_{\xi} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \zeta \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\Sigma_{1} v_{\xi}=0$.
From the third equation of (1.1), considered in $D_{S}$, and conditions (2.4) we obtain

$$
\Sigma_{2} \nu_{\xi}=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{2} \nu_{\sigma}=(2 \omega)^{-1 / 2} C G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \exp (-\lambda \sigma)(\sin (\psi-\lambda \sigma)+\cos (\psi-\lambda \sigma)) \\
& G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\left.\frac{1}{H_{1} H_{2}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{1}}\left(\frac{H_{2}}{H_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial s_{1}}\right)+\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial s_{2}}\left(\frac{H_{1}}{H_{2}} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial s_{2}}\right)\right]\right|_{s_{3}=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. APPROXIMATE FORMULAE FOR THE BOUNDARIES OF THE RESONANCE ZONES AND THE THRESHOLD AMPLITUDE

Considering the problem for the function $\Phi_{2}$, we will represent this function in the form

$$
\Phi_{2}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{2}^{(1)}+\Phi_{2}^{(2,3)}
$$

where $\Phi_{2}^{(1)}$ depends on $\psi$ as $\sin \psi$ and $\cos \psi$, while $\Phi_{2}^{(2,3)}$ depends on $\psi$ as $\sin 2 \psi, \cos 2 \psi, \sin 3 \psi$ and $\cos 3 \psi$. For $\Phi_{2}^{(1)}$ we obtain the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \Phi_{2}^{(1)}=0 \text { in } D \\
& \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}^{(1)}}{\partial n}=S_{1} \nu_{\sigma} \text { on } S, \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}^{(1)}}{\partial z}-F \omega^{2} \Phi_{2}^{(1)}=2 \omega F Q_{2} f_{0}+  \tag{3.1}\\
& +\frac{\gamma^{2} \omega}{4} C\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}-\cos 2 \theta\right) \cos \psi-\sin 2 \theta \sin \psi\right] f_{0} \text { on } \Sigma
\end{align*}
$$

The condition for problem (3.1) to be solvable has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S} f_{0} \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}^{(1)}}{\partial n} d S-\int_{\Sigma} \int\left(f_{0} \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}^{(1)}}{\partial z}-\Phi_{2}^{(1)} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial z}\right) d \Sigma=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing the derivatives $\partial f_{0} / \partial z, \partial \Phi_{2}^{(1)}, \partial z$ on $\Sigma$ and $\partial \Phi_{2}^{(1)} / \partial n$ on $S$ from (2.5) and (3.1) and substituting them into (3.2), we obtain after reduction

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 \sqrt{2} F \omega^{5 / 2}(\sin \psi+\cos \psi) I_{S}=8\left(A_{2} \sin \psi+C B_{2} \cos \psi\right) I_{\Sigma}+ \\
& +C \gamma^{2} \omega\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}-\cos 2 \theta\right) \cos \psi-\sin 2 \theta \sin \psi\right] I_{\Sigma}  \tag{3.3}\\
& I_{S}=\int_{S}\left(\nabla_{2} f_{0}\right)^{2} d S, \quad I_{\Sigma}=\iint_{\Sigma}\left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial z}\right)^{2} d \Sigma
\end{align*}
$$

Equating the coefficients of $\sin \psi$ and $\cos \psi$ in (3.3) separately, we obtain the functions $A_{2}(C, \theta)$ and $B_{2}(C, \theta)$. We substitute these functions into (2.2), considered up to terms $O(\varepsilon)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d C}{d t}=-\varepsilon \alpha C+\varepsilon C \frac{\gamma^{2} \omega}{8} \sin 2 \theta, \frac{d \theta}{d t}=\Delta-\varepsilon \alpha+\varepsilon \frac{\gamma^{2} \omega}{8}\left(\cos 2 \theta-\frac{2}{3}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \alpha=2^{-3 / 2} F_{\omega}{ }^{5 / 2} I_{S} / I_{\Sigma}
\end{align*}
$$

To investigate the stability of the trivial solution $C=0, \theta=$ const, we reduce (3.4) to a linear system using the replacement $u=C \cos \theta, v=C \sin \theta$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u}{d t}=-\varepsilon \alpha u-\varepsilon\left(\bar{\Delta}-\frac{\gamma^{2} \omega}{8}\right) v, \frac{d v}{d t}=\varepsilon\left(\bar{\Delta}+\frac{\gamma^{2} \omega}{8}\right) u-\varepsilon \alpha v  \tag{3.5}\\
& \bar{\Delta} \equiv \Delta / \varepsilon-\alpha-\gamma^{2} \omega / 12
\end{align*}
$$

The characteristic equation corresponding to system (3.5) has the solutions

$$
\lambda_{ \pm}=-\varepsilon \alpha \pm\left(\left(\gamma^{2} \omega / 8\right)^{2}-\bar{\Delta}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

For the amplitude of the oscillations to increase it is necessary for the following inequality to be satisfied

$$
\left(\gamma^{2} \omega / 8\right)^{2}-\bar{\Delta}^{2}>\alpha^{2}
$$

Hence, reverting to dimensional variables, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{-}<\frac{\Omega}{\omega}<R_{+} \\
& R_{ \pm}=1+\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega}-\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{s \Omega^{2}}{g}\right)^{2} \pm\left[\frac{1}{64}\left(\frac{s \Omega^{2}}{g}\right)^{4}-\left(\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta \omega \equiv-\varepsilon \omega_{0} \alpha$ is the shift in the natural frequency of oscillations of an ideal liquid (see [3])

$$
\Delta \omega=-2^{-3 / 2} v^{1 / 2} g^{-1} \omega^{5 / 2} I_{S} / I_{\Sigma}
$$

Formula (3.6) gives expressions for the frequencies at which an increase in the amplitude of the surface waves in a liquid becomes possible in the region of harmonic resonance ( $\omega=\Omega$ ) for a given amplitude $s$ of the vessel oscillations. In this case the amplitude $s$ itself should exceed a certain threshold value $s_{0}$, which can be found from the condition

$$
\left(s g^{-1} \omega^{2}\right)^{2} / 8 \geqslant|\Delta \omega| / \omega
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}=2^{3 / 4} v^{1 / 4} g^{1 / 2} \omega^{-5 / 4}\left(I_{S} / I_{\Sigma}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

For a vessel in the form of a rectangular parallelepiped ( $0 \leqslant x \leqslant a, 0 \leqslant y \leqslant b,-h \leqslant z \leqslant 0$ ) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{S}=\frac{a b}{2\left(2-\delta_{0 m}\right) \mathrm{sh}^{2}\left(k_{n m} h\right)}\left(\frac{\pi^{2} \operatorname{sh}\left(2 k_{n m} h\right)}{k_{n m}} \times\right. \\
& \left.\times\left[\frac{n^{2}}{a^{2}}\left(\frac{2-\delta_{0 m}}{b}+\frac{1}{a}\right)+\frac{m^{2}}{b^{2}}\left(\frac{2}{a}+\frac{1}{b}\right)\right]-2 h \pi^{2}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{a^{3}}+\frac{m^{2}}{b^{3}}\right)+k_{n m}^{2}\right) \\
& I_{\Sigma}=2^{-2+\delta_{1!m} a b k_{n n}^{2} ; \kappa_{n m}=\pi\left(\frac{n^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{m^{2}}{b^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots ; m=0.1 \ldots,} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{n m}$ is the Kronecker delta.
In order to check the theoretical results of Sections 1-3 on the equipment described previously in [7], we carried out a series of experiments to measure the width of the resonance zones of harmonic excitation of the second wave mode $(n=2)$ in a vertically oscillating rectangular vessel ( $a=50 \mathrm{~cm}$, $b=4 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), filled with the water ( $h=15 \mathrm{~cm}, v=0.01 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ ). The frequency range of the parametric excitation of the waves, by (3.6), is determined by the amplitude $s$ and the frequency $\Omega$ of the vessel oscillations. We used the following procedure to estimate the limits of its range. We initially calculated the natural frequency of the second mode $\omega=10.85 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and we established the oscillation frequency


Fig. 1.
of the vessel $\Omega=\omega$ for the chosen amplitude $s$. After the oscillations reached a steady state the frequency $\Omega$ changed smoothly, so that the height of the wave decreased. This change continued to a value of $\Omega_{B}$ for which the wave amplitude was practically zero; $\Omega_{B}$ was taken as the limiting value. The other limit $\Omega_{A}$ of the range was found by discrete variation in small steps of the oscillation frequency of the vessel in the opposite direction, i.e. when the wave amplitude increased. The equipment was switched off for each new value of $\Omega$. After complete cessation of the wave motions of the liquid, oscillations of frequency $\Omega$ were again applied to the vessel and the presence or absence of a wave buildup was recorded. If a steady state of the oscillations of the liquid was not achieved after 20 minutes, the corresponding value of the frequency was taken as the limiting frequency $\Omega_{A}$.

For the second wave mode, the stability diagram is shown in the figure (the continuous curve is the boundary of the range of parametric excitation, calculated from (3.6), and the small circles represent experimental data).

It can be seen that there is a threshold oscillation amplitude of the vessel $s_{0}=1.69 \mathrm{~cm}$, below which, for any $\Omega$, the free surface of the liquid remains unperturbed. By relation (3.7) the corresponding calculated value of the threshold amplitude $s_{0}=1.79 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Note that for the same values of $a, b, h$ and $n$ the threshold amplitude $s_{0}$ in the case of the fundamental resonance ( $\omega=\Omega / 2$ ) is equal to 0.04 cm (see [7]), i.e. one-fortieth of that for harmonic resonance.

This research was supported financially by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (96-01-00337) and the National Council for Science and Technology of Mexico (CONNIACYT, Mexico, Catedra Partimonial, S. Ya. Sekerzh-Zen'kovich, Ref. 489100-2, Exp. 950060).

## REFERENCES

1. BENJAMIN, T. B. and URSELL F., The stability of the plane free surface of a liquid in vertical periodic motion. Proc. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A. 1954, 225, 1163, 505-515.
2. MILES, J. W. and HENDERSON D., Parametrically forced surface wave. Annual Review Fluid Dynamic. Annual Reviews Inc., Palo Alto, CA, 1990, 22, 143-165.
3. KRAVTSOV, A. V. and SEKERZH-ZENKOVICH, S. Ya., Parametric excitation of the oscillations of a viscous two-layer liquid in a closed vessel. Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz., 1993, 33, 4, 611-619.
4. KUMAR K., Linear theory of Faraday instability in viscous liquids. Proc. Roy. Soc. London. Ser A. 1996, 452, 1948, 1113-1126.
5. MOISEYEV, N. N., Boundary-value problems of the linearized Navier-Stokes equations in the case of low viscosity. Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz., 1961, 1, 3, 548-550.
6. CHERNOUS'KO, F. L., Free oscillations of a viscous liquid in a vessel. Prikl. Mat. Mekh., 1966, 30, 5, 836-847.
7. KALINICHENKO, V. A., NESTEROV, S. V., SEKERZH-ZEN'KOVICH, S. Ya. and Chaikovskii, A. A., Experimental investigation of surface waves excited at Faraday resonance. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk. MZhG, 1995, 1, 122-129.

Translated by R.C.G.

